

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/631,231	0/631,231 07/31/2003		Danielle M. Hafling	380-151 111	1725		
1009	7590	04/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
KING & S 247 NORTH		-	BAHTA, A	BAHTA, ABRAHAM			
LEXINGTON, KY 40507				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1744	•••		
					DATE MAIL ED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/631,231	HAFLING ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·		
		Abraham Bahta	1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	Iress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the may and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this cors BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, , , ,		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	<u> December 2003</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examing The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupte oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	ut(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/er No(s)/Mail Date 10/28/03.	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/631,231

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said side wall and said dirt collection chamber" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "said bottom wall and said sidewall" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wegelin et al (USP 6,375,696).

Wegelin et al teach a vacuum cleaner apparatus comprising a foot/nozzle (102), a housing/canister (104) having a rear wall (13), side walls (132 and (134), bottom wall

Art Unit: 1744

(136) which forms a front cavity (140) for receiving a dirt cup or container (150). The apparatus comprises a motor-fan assembly, which creates suction at an inlet opening (118). See col. 3, line 60 through col. 4, line 43.

Claim 2: Wegelin et al teach the dirt cup (150) includes a bottom wall (184), a generally flat rear wall (186), a pair of curved side walls (188, 190) and a front wall (186) and a dirt cup lid (182). See col. 4, lines 53-64.

Claim 3: Wegelin et al teach an inlet opening (270) is formed in the rear wall of the dirt cup for providing fluid communication between the first duct and the first dirt collecting chamber. See col. 7, lines 51-54.

Claim 4: Wegelin et al teach prefilter separates the dirt cup chamber into a first dirt collecting chamber and a second dirt collecting chamber. See col. 5, lines 24-40.

Claim 5: Wegelin et al teach the prefilter is a screen. See col. 5, lines 63-67.

Claim 6: Wegelin et al teach the apparatus includes a filter in a filter receiver. See col. 5, lines 24-35.

Claim 7: Wegelin et al teach the filter is pleated. See col. 8, lines 60-67.

Claim 16: Wegelin et al teach the filter receiver is positioned in the housing (104).

Claim 17: Wegelin et al teach the motor-fan assembly creates a suction at an inlet opening (118) which in turn creates a suction in dirt duct (116), agitator chamber (112) and nozzle opening (106). See col (112) lines 8-11.

Claim 18: Wegelin et al teach a center of the bottom filter support member aligns with the center of the opening of the top filter support member to vertically support the filter member within the second dirt collecting chamber. See col. 9, line 67 through col. 10, line 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wegelin et al in view of McGill et al (USP 6,615,444).

Wegelin et al discussed above, do not require a hinge connecting one wall of the dirt cup to a side wall; however, McGill et al teach a dirt collection system for a vacuum cleaner comprising a dirt cup having a top lid, bottom wall a generally flat rear wall and a pair of curved side walls wherin the top lid is pivotally connected to the dirt cup. See col. 3, line 29 through col. 4, line 10.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the dirt cup of Wegelin et al by providing a hinge in order to pivotally connect the bottom wall of the dirt cup to the side wall so that the dirt cup may easily open/close to empty accumulated debris from the dirt cup as taught by McGill et al.

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 9: McGill et al teach a thump tab is provided to connect the top lid to the dirt cup. See col. 3, lines 6367.

Claim 11: Wegelin et al teach the dirt cup receiver is a housing. See col. 4, lines 38-43.

Claim 12: As shown in figure 5 of Wegelin et al, the filter receiver (214) has a series of walls.

Claims 13-15: As shown in figure 15 of Wegelin et al the filer assembly includes notch and guide ribs. See also col. 6, lines 54 through col. 7 line 14.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abraham Bahta whose telephone number is (571) 272-1532. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday; 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,231

Art Unit: 1744

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Bahta 03/27/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER